In re: Guido et al.

Application No.: 10/736,197 Filed: December 15, 2003

Page 4 of 4

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicants representative, D. Randal Ayers, conducted a brief telephonic interview with Examiner Nguyen on June 11, 2007. Applicants representative advised Examiner Nguyen that Applicants planned to cancel all of the claims except for allowed Claim 13, and to add several new dependent claims that would depend from Claim 13. Applicants called Examiner Nguyen to confirm that an Amendment After Final containing such new dependent claims would be entered. No prior art or other matters were discussed during the telephonic interview.

REMARKS

In response to the Final Office Action of May 29, 2007, Applicants have cancelled all of the rejected claims (Claims 1-12 and 17-19). This cancellation is being done without prejudice to Applicants right to pursue these claims in a continuation application. As noted above and discussed during the telephone interview, Applicants have also added new Claims 20-22, which include recitations similar to original Claims 7 and 8. As each of Claims 20-22 depend from allowed Claim 13, each of new Claims 20-22 are patentable at least based on their dependence from an allowed base claim.

As the amendments included herein place the present application in condition for allowance, entry of the present *Amendment After Final* is appropriate. Applicants respectfully request passing the present application to issuance as all of the pending claims are directed to subject matter that is indicated as allowable in the Final Office Action.

Should the Examiner have any matters of outstanding resolution, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at 919-854-1400 for expeditious handling.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Tedood D. Randal Ayers

Registration No. 40,493

Customer No. 20792 Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec P. O. Box 37428 Raleigh, North Carolina 27627 Telephone: (919) 854-1400 Facsimile: (919) 854-1401